	***************************************	Case 5:07-cv-05165-JW Docu	ıment 4	Filed 12/21/2007	Page 1 of 24	
oers Majeski Kohn & Bentley A Professional Corporation San Jose	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		82) BENTLEY ED STATE ERN DISTI	S DISTRICT COUR RICT OF CALIFOR SE DIVISION  CASE NO. C07-0 ANSWER TO FI COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DI	NIA 95165-JW-PVT RST AMENDED	
Rop	17	Defendant.				
	18					
	19	D. C. A. ATHE ODE CO.	NIED INIC	("CDE CDOUD") :-	anarron to the First	
	20	Defendant THE CBE GROUP, INC., ("CBE GROUP") in answer to the First				
	21	Amended Complaint of plaintiff EVELINE HENRIETTE ROSENBERRY on file herein and to each and every cause of action contained therein, admits, denies and alleges as follows.				
	23	1. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the First Amended				
	24	Complaint, defendant admits that this action purports to arise under the Fair Debt Collection				
	25	Practices Act, 15 USC § 1692, et seq., and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,				
	26	California Civil Code §§ 1788 et	seq., but de	enies plaintiff has an	y valid claim against it	
	27	thereunder, and further denies the	remaining	allegations containe	d in paragraph 1.	
	28					
		RC1/5038544.1/DB	•		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the First Amended
Complaint,	the congressional findings and declaration of purpose as set forth in 15 USC §
1692 are sel	f-explanatory, and further denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it
thereunder.	

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the First Amended 3. Complaint, defendant admits that jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 15 USC § 1692k(d), 28 USC § 1337, 28 USC § 1367, and 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202. As to the remaining allegations, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that this is an action under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 USC § 1692 et seq., but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that venue in this judicial district is invoked under 28 USC § 1391 (b) and 15 USC § 1692k(d). As to the remaining allegations, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 5.
- 6. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 6.
- 7. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 7.
- 8. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that it is an Iowa corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in the State of California, and has its principal place of business at 131 Tower Park, Suite 100, Waterloo, Iowa, 50701-9374. Defendant further admits that its principal business is the collection of debts and in the performance of such operations, uses the mails

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and telephone. Defendant further admits that it has at times acted as a "debt collector" within
the meaning of 15 USC § 1692a(6), and California Civil Code § 1788.2 (c). Defendant
denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 8.

- 9. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny them and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 9.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the First Amended 10. Complaint, defendant admits that the alleged debts were assigned to defendant for collection from plaintiff. As to the remaining allegations, defendant denies each and every allegation.
- 11. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that it sent a letter to plaintiff, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "1" to the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 11, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 11.
- 12. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 12 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the First Amended 13. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 13 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the First Amended 14. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 14 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 15. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 15.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the First Amended 16. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 16.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the First Amended 17. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 6, 2006, a letter was received

RC1/5038544.1/DB

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

indicating that it was from plaintiff, a copy of which appears to be attached as Exhibit "2" to
the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 17, defendant
lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis
denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 17.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the First Amended 18. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 18.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the First Amended 19. Complaint, defendant admits that it sent a letter to plaintiff, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "4" to the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 19, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 19.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the First Amended 20. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 20 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 21. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 21 of the First Amended Complaint
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the First Amended 22. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 22 of the First Amended Complaint
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the First Amended 23. Complaint, defendant admits that its representatives made telephone calls to what was believed to be plaintiff's residence. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 23, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny, and on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 23.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the First Amended 24. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 24 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the First Amended 25. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 17, 2006, a representative of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 25, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 25.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the First Amended 26. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 18, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 26, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 26.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the First Amended 27. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 20, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 27, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 27.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the First Amended 28. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 20, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 28, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 28.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the First Amended 29. Complaint, defendant admits that it sent a letter to plaintiff, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "5" to the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegation of paragraph 29, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 29.

- 5 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the First Amended 30. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 30 of the First Amended Complaint.
  - Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the First Amended 31. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 31 of the First Amended Complaint.
  - Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the First Amended 32. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 21, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 32, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 32.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the First Amended 33. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 21, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 33, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 33.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the First Amended 34. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 22, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 34, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 34.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the First Amended 35. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 35.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the First Amended 36. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 24, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 36, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 36.

- 37. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 27, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 37, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 37.
- 38. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 27, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 38, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 38.
- 39. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 29, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 39, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 39.
- 40. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 29, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 40, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 40.

-7-

13

20

18

24

22

41.	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the First Amended
Complaint,	defendant admits that on or about November 29, 2006, a message was left on
what was b	elieved to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining
allegations	of paragraph 41, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny
such allega	tions, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in
paragraph 4	41.

- 42. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 30, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 42, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 42.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the First Amended 43. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about November 30, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 43, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 43.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the First Amended 44. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 44.
- 45. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 45.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the First Amended 46. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 1, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 46, defendant lacks information and belief

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 46.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the First Amended 47. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 2, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 47, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 47.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the First Amended 48. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 4, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 48, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 48.
- 49. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 5, 2006, a letter was received indicating that it was from plaintiff, a copy of which appears to be attached as Exhibit "6" to the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 49, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 49.
- 50. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 50 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 50.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the First Amended 51. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 12, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 51, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in

 paragraph 51.

- 52. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 13, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 52, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 52.
- 53. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 14, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 53, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 53.
- 54. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 54 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 18, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 54, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 54.
- 55. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 19, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 55, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 55.
- 56. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 20, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 56, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 56.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the First Amended 57. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 21, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 57, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 57.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the First Amended 58. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 21, 2006, a message was left on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 58, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 58.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the First Amended 59. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 59.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the First Amended 60. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 27, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 60, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 60.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 61 of the First Amended 61. Complaint, defendant admits that on or about December 28, 2006, a representative of defendant left a message on what was believed to be plaintiff's residence answering machine. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 61, defendant lacks information and belief

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

sufficient to admit or deny such allegations	, and on	that basis	denies	each	and	every
remaining allegation contained in paragraph	n 61.					

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 62 of the First Amended 62. Complaint, defendant admits that it sent a letter to plaintiff, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "8" to the First Amended Complaint. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 62, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 62.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the First Amended 63. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 63 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 64 of the First Amended 64. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 64 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 65 of the First Amended 65. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 65.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the First Amended 66. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 66.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the First Amended 67. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 67.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 68 of the First Amended 68. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 68.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 69 of the First Amended 69. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 69 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 70 of the First Amended 70. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 70 of the First Amended Complaint.

<u>&gt;</u>		9
& Bentle		10
× ς Ω		11
7		12
KO   So	San Jose	13
Majeski Kohn & A Professional Corporati	Sar	14
Maj A Prof		15
		16
Ropers		17
		18
		19
		20
		21
		22

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

71.	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the First Amended
Complaint,	defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 71 of the First Amended Complaint

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the First Amended 72. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 72 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the First Amended 73. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 73 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 74 of the First Amended 74. Complaint, defendant admits the allegations of paragraph 74 of the First Amended Complaint.
- 75. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 75 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 75 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 76 of the First Amended 76. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 76 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 77 of the First Amended 77. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 77 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the First Amended 78. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 78 of the First Amended Complaint.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 79 of the First Amended 79. Complaint, defendant admits that plaintiff has purportedly brought this action pursuant to the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder. As to the remaining allegations of such paragraph, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis, denies each and every remaining allegation in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of the First Amended 80. Complaint, defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through

78 of this answer.

4

7

9

6

1011

12

13 14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

25 26

24

27

81	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the First Amended
Complain	, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that
basis den	es each and every allegation contained in paragraph 81.

- 82. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that it has at times acted as a "debt collector" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). As to the remaining allegations, defendant denies such allegations.
- 83. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 83.
- 84. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 84 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 84 (a) and (b). As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 84, defendant lacks information and belief to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 84.
- 85. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 85.
- 86. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 86 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 87. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 88. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 88 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant admits that plaintiff has purportedly brought this action pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788 through 1788.33, but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder. As to the remaining allegations of such paragraph, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

admit or deny said allegations,	and on that basis,	denies each a	nd every r	emaining a	allegation
in said paragraph.					

- 89. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 87 of this answer.
- 90. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 90.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 91 of the First Amended 91. Complaint, defendant admits that it has at times acted as a "debt collector" within the meaning of California Civil Code section 1788.2(c). As to the remaining allegations, defendant denies such allegations.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the First Amended 92. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 92.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the First Amended 93. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 93 (a) and (b). As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 93, defendant lacks information and belief to admit or deny such allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 93.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the First Amended 94. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 94.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the First Amended 95. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the First Amended 96. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

97.	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the First Amended
Complaint,	defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 98 of the First Amended 98. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 99. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 99 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 100 of the First Amended 100. Complaint, the provisions of California Civil Code § 1788.32 are self-explanatory. However, defendant denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it under such statute, and further denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 100.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the First Amended 101. Complaint, defendant admits that plaintiff has purportedly brought a claim for relief against defendant for invasion of privacy, but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 101, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 102 of the First Amended 102. Complaint, defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 100 of this answer.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 103 of the First Amended 103. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 103.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 104 of the First Amended 104. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the First Amended 105. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 106 of the First Amended 106. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

107.	Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the First Amended
Complaint, de	efendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the First Amended 108. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the First Amended 109. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the First Amended 110. Complaint, defendant admits that plaintiff has purportedly brought a claim for relief against defendant for negligence, but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder. As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 110, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 111 of the First Amended 111. Complaint, defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 109 of this answer.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 112 of the First Amended 112. Complaint, defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to admit or deny and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 112.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 113 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the First Amended 114. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 115 of the First Amended 115. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the First Amended 117. Complaint, defendant admits that plaintiff has purportedly brought a claim for relief against

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

26

27

28

defendant for "tort-in-se", but denies that plaintiff has any valid claim against it thereunder.
As to the remaining allegations of paragraph 117, defendant lacks information and belief
sufficient to admit or deny said allegations, and on that basis denies each and every remaining
allegation contained in said paragraph.

- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 118 of the First Amended 118. Complaint, defendant repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 116 of this answer.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the First Amended 119. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 120 of the First Amended 120. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 121 of the First Amended 121. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the First Amended 122. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 123 of the First Amended 123. Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 124 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 125 of the First Amended Complaint, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

As to plaintiff's requests for relief, defendant denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever under her first amended complaint.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- In addition to the foregoing denials, admissions and allegations, and without 126. admission as to burden of proof, defendant asserts the following affirmative defenses.
- AS A FIRST, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST 127. AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that said plaintiff fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering defendant.

AS A SECOND, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that any acts or omissions attributable to defendant as alleged in the First Amended Complaint were unintentional and resulted from a bona fide error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such error, pursuant to 15 USC § 1692k(c).

- AS A THIRD, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST 129. AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that any acts or omissions attributed to defendant were performed in good faith in conformity with advisory opinions of the Federal Trade Commission pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(e).
- AS A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE 130. FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that to the extent any violation of law occurred, which defendant expressly denies, said violation was not intentional and resulted from a bona fide error notwithstanding the maintenance by defendant of procedures reasonably adopted to avoid any such error.
- AS A FIFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that all of its actions were taken in good faith and with a reasonable belief that such actions were legal, appropriate and necessary.
- AS A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST 132. AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that the representations or statements alleged to have been made by defendant were true, accurate at the time made,

and/or otherwise were made in good faith and with a reasonable belief as to their truth, validity and accuracy.

- 133. AS A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that statutory and common law immunities apply to the acts and/or omissions complained of in the First Amended Complaint.
- 134. AS AN EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that each and every cause of action is barred by the privilege embodied in California Civil Code section 47, or arising under federal and/or state common law.
- 135. AS A NINTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that said complaint is barred by the Doctrine of Estoppel.
- 136. AS A TENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that said complaint is barred by the Doctrine of Laches.
- 137. AS AN ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that said complaint is barred by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.
- 138. AS A TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff has waived and is estopped and barred from alleging the matters set forth in the complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AS A THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO 139. THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that at all times and places mentioned in the complaint herein, plaintiff failed to mitigate the amount of her damages. The damages claimed by plaintiff could have been mitigated by due diligence on her part or by one acting under similar circumstances. The plaintiff's failure to mitigate is a bar to her recovery under the complaint.

- AS A FOURTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO 140. THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff was careless and negligent in and about the matters alleged in the complaint, and that said carelessness and negligence on said plaintiff's own part proximately contributed to the happening of the incident and to the injuries, loss and damage complained of, if any there were; that should plaintiff recover damages, defendant is entitled to have the amount thereof abated, reduced or eliminated to the extent that plaintiff's negligence caused or contributed to her injuries, if any.
- AS A FIFTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that said injuries sustained by plaintiff were either wholly or in part negligently caused by persons, firms, corporations or entities other than this answering defendant, and said negligence is either imputed to plaintiff by reason of the relationship of said parties to plaintiff and/or said negligence comparatively reduces the percentage of negligence, if any, by this answering defendant.
- AS A SIXTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE 142. FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that the alleged causes of action set forth in the first amended complaint are, and each of them is, barred by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the applicable provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure, including but not limited to, sections 335.1, 338 and 340 of the State of California.

- AS A SEVENTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that the sole and proximate cause of the incident complained of by plaintiff in her complaint was due to the act and/or omissions of persons and entities other than this answering defendant..
- 144. AS AN EIGHTEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff should be denied recovery under the complaint, and each cause of action thereof, because plaintiff's conduct was manifestly unreasonable.
- AS A NINETEENTH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO 145 THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that its conduct was not the cause in fact or the proximate cause of any of the losses alleged by plaintiff.
- AS A TWENTIETH, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that it is entitled to a setoff under California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.70 against any damages to which plaintiff claims an entitlement based on Plaintiff's outstanding obligations.
- Defendant presently has insufficient knowledge or information on which to 147. form a belief as to whether it may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendant reserves the right to assert any and all additional defenses in the event discovery indicates such defenses may be appropriate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.	That plaintiff takes nothing by her complaint;

2. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein;

WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: December 7, 2007

ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY

Ry

J. MARK THACKER Attorneys for Defendant THE-CBE GROUP, INC.

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

2

Defendant THE CBE GROUP, INC. hereby demands trial by jury.

3

4

Dated: December ZL, 2007

ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

Ropers Majeski Kohn & Bentley A Professional Corporation San Jose

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

~~

23

2425

26

27

28

By:

J. MARK THACKER Attorneys for Defendant THE CBE GROUP, INC.